

Guy D. Knoller - #002803  
Law Offices of Guy David Knoller, P.L.L.C.  
18221 N. 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
Phoenix, Arizona 85032  
(602) 799-2346  
E-Mail: guydknoller@gmail.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Kyle Gellien,  Plaintiff,  vs.  Wajid Ali Kahn dba Western Lodge Motel; Rudra Investment II, LLC,  Defendants.	No. 2:18-cv-02072-DMF  <b>FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT</b>  <i>DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL</i>
---	---

Plaintiff allege as follows:

**FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
(Fair Labor Standards Act)

1. Plaintiff is a resident of Maricopa County, Arizona.
2. Defendant Wajid Ali Kahn dba Western Lodge Motel has its principal place of business in Phoenix, Arizona.
3. Defendant Wajid Ali Kahn is a resident of Maricopa County, and is personally liable as an "employer" within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §203, as he:
  - a. Acted directly for and on behalf of Defendant Western Lodge Motel;
  - b. Had the power and exercised the power to hire and fire;

- c. Supervised workers and controlled the conditions of employment;
- d. Maintained employment records; and,
- e. Is the owner of Defendant Western Lodge Motel.

4. Jurisdiction is based upon 29 U.S.C. §216(b), of the Fair Labor Standards Act.

5. Plaintiff worked for Defendant Western Lodge Motel owned by Defendants Kahn and Rudra Investments II, LLC within the last 3 years.

6. Plaintiff was not paid overtime at the rate of one and one-half his hourly rate of pay (minimum wage) for all hours worked in excess of 40 each week.

7. Plaintiff worked overtime (over 40 hours in one week) each week during his employment for which he was not paid at the rate of time and one-half- calculations (see Exhibit 1 attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein).

8. Plaintiff was misclassified as exempt from the FLSA, but in fact was not exempt.

9. Plaintiff was not paid minimum wages for all hours worked.

10. Defendants conduct was willful:

a. Defendants knew or should have known Plaintiff was misclassified and not exempt under the FLSA; and,

b. Defendants failed to make adequate inquiry into compliance with the FLSA.

10. Plaintiff is entitled to overtime at the rate of time and one-half for all hours worked in excess of 40 for each week.

1           11. Plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages: double the amount due pursuant  
2 to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

3           12. Plaintiff is entitled to attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C.  
4 §216(b).

5           13. Defendants also violated the record keeping requirements of the FLSA by  
6 failing to keep accurate records of hours worked.

7           14. Defendants never posted any notice advising employees of their right to  
8 overtime pay and minimum wages in violation of the FLSA.

9  
10           WHEREFORE Plaintiff requests judgment against Defendants for:

- 11           1. Damages in an amount to be proven at trial with interest thereon;  
12           2. Liquidated damages (double the amount due);  
13           3. Reasonable attorneys fees and costs incurred herein which are mandatory;  
14           and,  
15           4. Such other relief that this Court deems appropriate.

16  
17                           **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
18                           **(Arizona Minimum Wage Violation)**

19           1. The preceding allegations of the Complaint are incorporated by reference  
20 herein.

21           2. During Plaintiff's employment he was not paid for all time worked.

22           3. For the time worked, but not paid, Plaintiff was entitled to minimum  
23 wages from the date of enactment of the Arizona Minimum Wage Statute until paid.

24           4. The Arizona Minimum Wage Statute provides for mandatory attorneys  
25 fees for Plaintiff.  
26

